UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,186 | 01/26/2006 | Markus Erfort | 740116-871 | 2100 |
| ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C. Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CERULLO, LILIANA P | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/11/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com docketing@rmsclaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/566,186 | ERFORT ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| LILIANA CERULLO | 2629 | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| | same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) the 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of | ry Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ich the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance | thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | ration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | |
| appeal; and/or | rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | |
| | e display element is visible at a first point in time in a first location n time is visible in a second location; this limitations requires further | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Set 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowald non-allowable claim(s). | ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14-26 and 28. | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before the contraction is the first the contraction of the contraction is the contraction of the contraction of the contraction is the contraction of the | ore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered icient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe. | · | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | |
| /Amr Awad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629 | /L. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 | | | |